

A Note on an Identity of Ramanujan Author(s): T. S. Nanjundiah Source: *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 100, No. 5 (May, 1993), pp. 485-487 Published by: <u>Mathematical Association of America</u> Stable URL: <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2324305</u> Accessed: 18/08/2013 11:30

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Mathematical Association of America is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *The American Mathematical Monthly*.

http://www.jstor.org

- E. J. Remez, Sour une propriété de polynomes de Tchebysheff, Communicationes le l'Inst. des Sci., Kharkov 13 (1936), 93-95.
- 2. G. Freud, Orthogonal Polynomials, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1971.
- 3. T. Erdelyi, *Inequalities for generalized polynomials and their applications*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of South Carolina, 1989.
- 4. T. J. Rivlin, Chebyshev Polynomials, second edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1990.

Department of Mathematics, University of Sofia, Boul. James Boucher 5, 1126 Sofia, BULGARIA

A Note on an Identity of Ramanujan

T. S. Nanjundiah

In a forthcoming paper [1], Berndt and Bhargava have supplied a proof of this eye-catching identity of Ramanujan found in his third notebook [3, p. 386]: if ad = bc, then

$$64\{(b+c+d)^{6} - (a+c+d)^{6} - (a+b+d)^{6} + (a+b+c)^{6} + (a-d)^{6} - (b-c)^{6}\} + (a-d)^{6} - (b-c)^{6}\} \times \{(b+c+d)^{10} - (a+c+d)^{10} - (a+b+d)^{10} + (a+b+c)^{10} + (a-d)^{10} - (b-c)^{10}\} = 45\{(b+c+d)^{8} - (a+c+d)^{8} - (a+b+d)^{8} + (a+b+c)^{8} + (a-d)^{8} - (b-c)^{8}\}^{2}.$$

It figures also in their expository article [2] featuring a selected group of Ramanujan's results. Unfortunately, they have missed its simple proof and so its genesis by not noticing that it is built from two sets of sums:

$$u_n = \alpha_1^n + \beta_1^n + \gamma_1^n, \quad \alpha_1 = b + c + d, \quad \beta_1 = -(a + b + c), \quad \gamma_1 = a - d,$$

$$v_n = \alpha_2^n - \beta_2^n + \gamma_2^n, \quad \alpha_2 = a + c + d, \quad \beta_2 = -(a + b + d), \quad \gamma_2 = b - c.$$

By $\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i = 0$, the underlying problem is to compute

$$\omega_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n + \gamma^n,$$

where α , β and γ are the roots of the cubic

$$z^3 - pz + q = 0.$$

1993]

NOTES

485

It is simple to work out an easy special case of Newton's formulae for power sums of the roots of an algebraic equation. Indeed, the obvious recursion

$$\omega_{n+3} - p\omega_{n+1} + q\omega_n = 0$$

with the initial values

$$\omega_{-1}=\frac{p}{q},\qquad \omega_0=3,\qquad \omega_1=0,$$

yields

$$\omega_2 = 2p, \qquad \omega_4 = 2p^2,$$

$$\omega_3 = -3q, \qquad \omega_5 = 5pq, \qquad \omega_7 = -7p^2q,$$

$$\omega_6 = 2p^3 + 3q^2, \qquad \omega_8 = 2p^4 + 8pq^2, \qquad \omega_{10} = 2p^5 + 15p^2q^2$$

Form the cubic whose roots are α_i , β_i and γ_i :

$$z^3 - p_j z + q_j = 0$$

We have

$$p_1 = (b + c + d)(a + b + c) + (a - d)^2,$$

$$p_2 = (a + c + d)(a + b + d) + (b - c)^2,$$

$$p_1 - p_2 = 3(bc - ad).$$

Hence $p_1 = p_2$ if and only if

$$ad = bc$$
.

Assume this condition and set

$$p_1 = p_2 = P, \qquad \Delta = q_1^2 - q_2^2.$$

Now the $u_n = \omega_n(p_1, q_1)$ and the $v_n = \omega_n(p_2, q_2)$ given by the computed $\omega_n = \omega_n(p, q)$ show that

$$u_2 = v_2, \quad u_4 = v_4,$$

 $u_6 - v_6 = -3\Delta, \quad u_8 - v_8 = 8P\Delta, \quad u_{10} - v_{10} = 15P^2\Delta.$

So we have Ramanujan's ingenious parametric construction of equal sums of three nth powers (n = 2, 4), and Ramanujan's identity. Clearly, for both these results, the condition ad = bc is crucial. Ramanujan must have been primarily looking for the first one because of its number-theoretic signifiance, the second being incidental and apparently the only one of its kind in this context.

For special choices of the parameters, the equal sums of three *n*th powers (n = 2, 4) constructed by Ramanujan may present the same terms! This happens, for instance, when

$$a = b (c = d), \quad a = c (b = d), \quad b = 0 = d (a \neq 0), \quad c = 0 = d (a \neq 0).$$

Barring such cases, the construction yields numbers expressible as sums of three nth powers (n = 2, 4) in two different ways. This observation, which we owe to a comment of the referee/editor, does not point to any flaw in the construction for which what really matters is its *algebraic* formulation.

NOTES

[May

486

I wish to thank Professor Bhargava for having kindly shown me the proof sheets of [1] and a preprint of [2].

REFERENCES

- 1. Bruce C. Berndt and S. Bhargava, A remarkable identity found in Ramanujan's third notebook, *Glasgow Math. J.* 34 (1992) 341-345.
- 2. Bruce C. Berndt and S. Bhargava, Ramanujan-for Lowbrows, this MONTHLY (to appear).
- 3. S. Ramanujan, Notebooks (2 vols.), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1957.

180, I Cross Gangotri Layout–I Stage Mysore-570 009 India

On an Identity of Daubechies

Doron Zeilberger

Tossing a coin (whose Pr(head) = p) until reaching *n* heads or *n* tails and equating the probability, 1, of finishing with the sum of the probabilities of all the possible final outcomes leads to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{i+i-1}{i} p^n (1-p)^i + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+i-1}{i} p^i (1-p)^n = 1,$$

which was proved in [1], (pp. 167–171) and [2] using Bezout's theorem and induction respectively. Rolling a k-faced die instead leads to the multivariate generalization

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \le \alpha_{j} \le n-1 \\ j \ne i}} \frac{(\alpha_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{i-1} + (n-1) + \alpha_{i+1} + \dots + \alpha_{k})!}{\alpha_{1}! \dots \alpha_{i-1}! (n-1)! \alpha_{i+1}! \dots \alpha_{k}!} \times$$

$$p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} p_i^n p_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots p_k^{\alpha_k} = 1,$$

provided $p_1 + \cdots + p_k = 1$.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ingrid Daubechies, Ten lectures on wavelets, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- _____, Orthogonal bases of compactly supported wavelets, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1988), 909-996.

Department of Mathematics Temple University Philadelphia, PA 19122 zeilberg@euclid.math.temple.edu

1993]

NOTES