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## A Note on an Identity of Ramanujan

## T. S. Nanjundiah

In a forthcoming paper [1], Berndt and Bhargava have supplied a proof of this eye-catching identity of Ramanujan found in his third notebook [3, p. 386]: if $a d=b c$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 64\left\{(b+c+d)^{6}-(a+c+d)^{6}-(a+b+d)^{6}+(a+b+c)^{6}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(a-d)^{6}-(b-c)^{6}\right\} \\
& \times\left\{(b+c+d)^{10}-(a+c+d)^{10}-(a+b+d)^{10}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(a+b+c)^{10}+(a-d)^{10}-(b-c)^{10}\right\} \\
& =45\left\{(b+c+d)^{8}-(a+c+d)^{8}-(a+b+d)^{8}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+(a+b+c)^{8}+(a-d)^{8}-(b-c)^{8}\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It figures also in their expository article [2] featuring a selected group of Ramanujan's results. Unfortunately, they have missed its simple proof and so its genesis by not noticing that it is built from two sets of sums:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
u_{n}=\alpha_{1}^{n}+\beta_{1}^{n}+\gamma_{1}^{n}, & \alpha_{1}=b+c+d, & \beta_{1}=-(a+b+c), & \gamma_{1}=a-d, \\
v_{n}=\alpha_{2}^{n}-\beta_{2}^{n}+\gamma_{2}^{n}, & \alpha_{2}=a+c+d, & \beta_{2}=-(a+b+d), & \gamma_{2}=b-c
\end{array}
$$

By $\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}+\gamma_{j}=0$, the underlying problem is to compute

$$
\omega_{n}=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}+\gamma^{n},
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are the roots of the cubic

$$
z^{3}-p z+q=0 .
$$

It is simple to work out an easy special case of Newton's formulae for power sums of the roots of an algebraic equation. Indeed, the obvious recursion

$$
\omega_{n+3}-p \omega_{n+1}+q \omega_{n}=0
$$

with the initial values

$$
\omega_{-1}=\frac{p}{q}, \quad \omega_{0}=3, \quad \omega_{1}=0
$$

yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega_{2}=2 p, \quad \omega_{4}=2 p^{2}, \\
\omega_{3}=-3 q, \quad \omega_{5}=5 p q, \quad \omega_{7}=-7 p^{2} q, \\
\omega_{6}=2 p^{3}+3 q^{2}, \quad \omega_{8}=2 p^{4}+8 p q^{2}, \quad \omega_{10}=2 p^{5}+15 p^{2} q^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Form the cubic whose roots are $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}$ and $\gamma_{j}$ :

$$
z^{3}-p_{j} z+q_{j}=0
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{1}=(b+c+d)(a+b+c)+(a-d)^{2} \\
p_{2}=(a+c+d)(a+b+d)+(b-c)^{2} \\
p_{1}-p_{2}=3(b c-a d)
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $p_{1}=p_{2}$ if and only if

$$
a d=b c .
$$

Assume this condition and set

$$
p_{1}=p_{2}=P, \quad \Delta=q_{1}^{2}-q_{2}^{2} .
$$

Now the $u_{n}=\omega_{n}\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ and the $v_{n}=\omega_{n}\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)$ given by the computed $\omega_{n}=$ $\omega_{n}(p, q)$ show that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{2}=v_{2}, \quad u_{4}=v_{4}, \\
u_{6}-v_{6}=-3 \Delta, \quad u_{8}-v_{8}=8 P \Delta, \quad u_{10}-v_{10}=15 P^{2} \Delta .
\end{gathered}
$$

So we have Ramanujan's ingenious parametric construction of equal sums of three $n$th powers ( $n=2,4$ ), and Ramanujan's identity. Clearly, for both these results, the condition $a d=b c$ is crucial. Ramanujan must have been primarily looking for the first one because of its number-theoretic signifiance, the second being incidental and apparently the only one of its kind in this context.

For special choices of the parameters, the equal sums of three $n$th powers ( $n=2,4$ ) constructed by Ramanujan may present the same terms! This happens, for instance, when

$$
a=b(c=d), \quad a=c(b=d), \quad b=0=d(a \neq 0), \quad c=0=d(a \neq 0)
$$

Barring such cases, the construction yields numbers expressible as sums of three $n$th powers ( $n=2,4$ ) in two different ways. This observation, which we owe to a comment of the referee/editor, does not point to any flaw in the construction for which what really matters is its algebraic formulation.

I wish to thank Professor Bhargava for having kindly shown me the proof sheets of [1] and a preprint of [2].
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## On an Identity of Daubechies

## Doron Zeilberger

Tossing a coin (whose $\operatorname{Pr}($ head $)=p$ ) until reaching $n$ heads or $n$ tails and equating the probability, 1 , of finishing with the sum of the probabilities of all the possible final outcomes leads to

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{\dot{n}+i-1}{i} p^{n}(1-p)^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{n+i-1}{i} p^{i}(1-p)^{n}=1
$$

which was proved in [1], (pp. 167-171) and [2] using Bezout's theorem and induction respectively. Rolling a $k$-faced die instead leads to the multivariate generalization

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq n-1 \\
j \neq i}} \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{i-1}+(n-1)+\alpha_{i+1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k}\right)!}{\alpha_{1}!\ldots \alpha_{i-1}!(n-1)!\alpha_{i+1}!\ldots \alpha_{k}!} \times \\
& \quad p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{i-1}^{\alpha_{i-1}} p_{i}^{n} p_{i+1}^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $p_{1}+\cdots+p_{k}=1$.
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