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duces that $A_{n} \subseteq U_{G}$. Let $\left(c_{k}, d_{k}\right)$ denote the components of $U_{G}$. By the lemma one has $G\left(c_{k}\right) \geq G\left(d_{k}\right)$ for each $k$ and hence $m^{*}\left(E \cap\left(c_{k}, d_{k}\right)\right) \leq n\left(d_{k}-c_{k}\right) /(n+1)$. By (P2), (P1), and (P3) one thus obtains

$$
m^{*}\left(A_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{k} m^{*}\left(A_{n} \cap\left(c_{k}, d_{k}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{k} \frac{n}{n+1}\left(d_{k}-c_{k}\right)=\frac{n}{n+1} m^{*}\left(U_{G}\right) .
$$

Therefore $m^{*}\left(A_{n}\right)<n\left(m^{*}\left(A_{n}\right)+\varepsilon\right) /(n+1)$, which implies that $m^{*}\left(A_{n}\right)<n \varepsilon$. The assertion follows because $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary.

By symmetry, the set $B:=\left\{x \in E / \underline{d}_{-}(E, x)<1\right\}$ also has outer measure zero. Hence $\underline{d}_{+}(E, x) \underline{\underline{d}}_{-}(E, x)=1$ for almost all $x \in E$, and the proof of Lebesgue's theorem is complete.
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## A Simple Proof of $1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}$ and Related Identities

## Josef Hofbauer

## 1. A PROOF FOR

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{2^{2}}+\frac{1}{3^{2}}+\cdots=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeated application of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} x}=\frac{1}{4 \sin ^{2} \frac{x}{2} \cos ^{2} \frac{x}{2}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{x}{2}}+\frac{1}{\cos ^{2} \frac{x}{2}}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{x}{2}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi+x}{2}}\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi}{2}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi}{4}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{3 \pi}{4}}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{16}\left[\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{\pi}{8}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{3 \pi}{8}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{5 \pi}{8}}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{7 \pi}{8}}\right]=\cdots \\
& =\frac{1}{4^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2^{n+1}}}  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{2}{4^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2^{n+1}}} . \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the termwise limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N \sin (x / N)=x$ for $N=2^{n}$ and $x=(2 k+1) \pi / 2$ yields the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (1) follows easily.
Now taking the limit termwise requires some care, as the example $1=1 / 2+$ $1 / 2=1 / 4+1 / 4+1 / 4+1 / 4=\cdots \rightarrow 0+0+0 \cdots=0$ shows. In the above case $(4) \rightarrow(5)$ it is justified because the $k$ th term in the sum (4) is bounded by $2 /(2 k+1)^{2}$ (independently of $n$ ) $\operatorname{since} \sin x>2 x / \pi$ holds for $0<x<\pi / 2$.

The argument in the last step (i.e., interchanging limit and summation) is known as Tannery's Theorem (see [16, p. 292], [5], or [4]); we present it in an appendix at the end of this Note. It is instructive here to check that (and why) the termwise limit (3) $\rightarrow$ (5) fails.

Use of Tannery's Theorem can be avoided by the following elementary argument: Sum the inequalities $\sin ^{-2} x>x^{-2}>\cot ^{2} x=\sin ^{-2} x-1$ (which follow from $\sin x<x<\tan x$ for $0<x<\pi / 2)$ for $x=(2 k+1) \pi /(2 N)$ with $k=0, \ldots, N / 2-$ 1. Then (4) implies

$$
1>\frac{8}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N / 2-1} \frac{1}{(2 k+1)^{2}}>1-\frac{1}{N},
$$

for $N=2^{n}$, and hence (5).
2. RELATED PROOFS. The proof in Section 1 was inspired by two related proofs (\# 9 and \# 10) among the 14 proofs of Euler's identity (1) collected by Chapman [6], and the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{2 N}}=N^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which I encountered 25 years ago as a mathematics olympiad problem [2]. A proof for (6) for general $N$ is in Section 3. These two related proofs use instead the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \cot ^{2} \frac{k \pi}{2 N+1}=\frac{N(2 N-1)}{3} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{k \pi}{2 N+1}}=\frac{2 N(N+1)}{3} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

These identities (6)-(8) are usually proved by comparing the coefficients in a suitable polynomial of degree $N$ whose zeroes are the terms of the sums. This way to prove (1) via (7) or (8) is described in detail in [5, ch. IX] (which also has (6)) and [17, ch. X], and was rediscovered in [8], [12], and [13].

The only new (?) feature in the present proof is the restriction to $N=2^{n}$ where (6) allows a simpler argument.

For other (more or less) elementary proofs of (1) see [1], [3], [6], [7], [9], [11], [12], and [15], and references therein. There is an interesting historical account in [15].
3. THE PARTIAL FRACTION EXPANSION OF $\sin ^{-2} \boldsymbol{x}$. The identity (6) is a special case ( $x=\pi / 2$ ) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} x}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{x+k \pi}{N}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This identity follows for $N=2^{n}$ in the same way as in Section 1, starting from $\sin ^{-2} x$. Writing it as

$$
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} x}=\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{k=-N / 2}^{N / 2-1} \frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \frac{x+k \pi}{N}}
$$

yields the partial fraction expansion of $\sin ^{-2} x$ in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} x}=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(x+k \pi)^{2}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (9) can be verified for arbitrary $N$ in turn. As pointed out by the referee, identity (8) can be derived from (9) by taking the limit $x \rightarrow 0$, and replacing $N$ by $2 N+1$.

This is a funny variation of Cauchy's original induction proof for the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric mean: To prove the identity (9) for arbitrary natural numbers $N$, we first prove it by an induction $n \rightarrow 2 n$ for all powers of 2: $N=2^{n}$. Then we take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain the infinite series (10), from which the formula follows for every finite $N$.

## 4. THE GREGORY-LEIBNIZ SERIES. The fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{5}-+\cdots=\frac{\pi}{4} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be proved in a similar fashion. We use the identity

$$
\cot x=\frac{1}{2}\left[\cot \frac{x}{2}-\tan \frac{x}{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\cot \frac{x}{2}-\cot \left(\frac{\pi-x}{2}\right)\right]
$$

instead of (1). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\cot \frac{\pi}{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\cot \frac{\pi}{8}-\cot \frac{3 \pi}{8}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4}\left[\cot \frac{\pi}{16}-\cot \frac{7 \pi}{16}-\cot \frac{3 \pi}{16}+\cot \frac{5 \pi}{16}\right]=\cdots \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{k} \cot \frac{(2 k+1) \pi}{4 N} \quad\left(\text { for } N=2^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ and using $(1 / N) \cot (x / N) \rightarrow 1 / x$ yields

$$
1=\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{2 k+1} .
$$

This series is not absolutely convergent. Still, Tannery's Theorem applies after combining two consecutive terms, e.g., using the formula $\cot \alpha-\cot \beta=\sin (\beta-$ $\alpha) / \sin \alpha \sin \beta$.

More generally, the partial fraction expansion of $\cot x$ can be derived in a similar way; see [10, § 24] or [14].

Appendix: Tannery's Theorem. If $s(n)=\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k}(n)$ is a finite sum (or a convergent series) for each $n, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}(n)=f_{k},\left|f_{k}(n)\right| \leq M_{k}$, and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} M_{k}<\infty$ then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} s(n)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_{k} .
$$

Proof. For any given $\varepsilon>0$ there is an $N(\varepsilon)$ such that $\sum_{k>N(\varepsilon)} M_{k}<\varepsilon / 3$. For each $k$ there is an $N_{k}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\left|f_{k}(n)-f_{k}\right|<\varepsilon /(3 N(\varepsilon))$ for all $n \geq N_{k}(\varepsilon)$. Let $\bar{N}(\varepsilon)=$ $\max \left\{N_{1}(\varepsilon), \ldots, N_{N(\varepsilon)}(\varepsilon)\right\}$. Then

$$
\left|s(n)-\sum_{k} f_{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N(\varepsilon)}\left|f_{k}(n)-f_{k}\right|+2 \sum_{\cdot k>N(\varepsilon)} M_{k}<N(\varepsilon) \frac{\varepsilon}{3 N(\varepsilon)}+2 \frac{\varepsilon}{3}=\varepsilon
$$

for all $n \geq \bar{N}(\varepsilon)$.
A standard application of Tannery's Theorem is to show that the two usual definitions of $e^{x}$ are the same:

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\frac{x}{n}\right)^{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} \frac{x^{k}}{n^{k}}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} .
$$

Tannery's Theorem is related to the M-test of Weierstrass: Let $f_{k}: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions, $\left|f_{k}(x)\right| \leq M_{k}, \sum_{k} M_{k}<\infty$. Then $s(x)=\sum_{k} f_{k}(x)$ converges uniformly, and if each $f_{k}$ is continuous, then $s$ is continuous.

With the domain $D=\{1,2, \ldots, \infty\}$ the continuity at $\infty$ of $f_{k}$ and $s$ yields Tannery's Theorem.

Tannery's Theorem is also a special case of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem on the sequence space $\ell^{1}$.
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